arXiv:hep-th/0401063v1 11 Jan 2004

Near Extremal Schwarzschild-de Sitter Black Hole Area Spectrum from Quasi-normal Modes

M.R. Setare *
Physics Dept. Inst. for Studies in Theo. Physics and Mathematics(IPM)
P. O. Box 19395-5531, Tehran, IRAN

Abstract

Using the quasi-normal modes frequency of near extremal Schwarzschild-de Sitter black holes, we obtain area and entropy spectrum for black hole horizon. By using Boher-Sommerfeld quantization for an adiabatic invariant $I = \int \frac{dE}{\omega(E)}$, which E is the energy of system and $\omega(E)$ is vibrational frequency, we leads to an equally spaced mass spectrum. In the other term we extend directly the Kunstatter's approach [7] to determine mass and entropy spectrum of near extremal Schwarzschild-de Sitter black holes which is asymptotically de Sitter rather than asymptotically flat.

---

*E-mail: rezakord@ipm.ir

1 Introduction

The quantization of the black hole horizon area is one of the most interesting manifestations of quantum gravity. Since its first prediction by Bekenstein in 1974 [1], there has been much work on this topic [2]-[19]. Recently, the quantization of the black hole area has been considered [5], [6] as a result of the absorption of a quasi-normal mode excitation. Any non-dissipative systems has modes of vibrations, which forming a complete set, and called normal modes. Each mode having a given real frequency of oscillation and being independent of any other. The system once disturbed continues to vibrate in one or several of the normal modes. On the other hand, when one deals with open dissipative system, as a black hole, instead of normal modes, one considers quasi-normal modes for which the frequencies are no longer pure real, showing that the system is loosing energy. In asymptotically flat spacetimes the idea of QNMs started with the work of Regge and Wheeler [20] where the stability of a black hole was tested, and were first numerically computed by Chandrasekhar and Detweiler several years later [21]. The quasi-normal modes bring now a lot of interest in different contexts: in AdS/CFT correspondence [22]-[35], when considering thermodynamic properties of black holes in loop quantum gravity [6, 7, 36, 37], in the context of possible connection with critical collapse [22, 38, 39].

Bekenstein's idea for quantizing a black hole is based on the fact that its horizon area, in the nonextreme case, behaves as a classical adiabatic invariant [1], [4]. In the spirit of Ehrenfest principle, any classical adiabatic invariant corresponds to a quantum entity with discrete spectrum, Bekenstein conjectured that the horizon area of a non extremal quantum black hole should have a discrete eigenvalue spectrum. Moreover, the possibility of a connection between the quasinormal frequencies of black holes and the quantum properties of the entropy spectrum was first observed by Bekenstein [40], and further developed by Hod [5]. In particular, Hod proposed that the real part of the quasinormal frequencies, in the infinite damping limit, might be related via the correspondence principle to the fundamental quanta of mass and angular momentum. The proposed correspondence between quasinormal frequencies and the fundamental quantum of mass automatically leads to an equally spaced area spectrum. Remarkably, the spacing was such as to allow a statistical mechanical interpretation for the resulting eigenvalues for the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy. Dreyer[6] also used the large damping quasi-normal mode frequency to fix the value of the Immirzi parameter, γ, in loop quantum gravity. He found that loop quantum gravity gives a correct prediction for the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy if gauge group should be SO(3), and not SU(2).

In this letter our aim is to obtain the area and entropy spectrum of near extremal Schwarzschild- de Sitter black holes in four dimensional spacetime. According a recent study [11](based on identifying the relevant scattering potential with that of the Poscl-Teller model [41, 42]) the quasinormal spectrum of SdS space depends strongly on the orbital angular momentum of the perturbation field. Moreover, in the near extremal SdS case, the real part of the frequency, goes almost linearly with orbital angular momentum. In a more recent paper [43], the quasinormal mode spectrum was calculated for SdS space by way of the monodromy method [8]. When this form of the spectrum is then subjected to the near extremal limit, as was done explicitly in [43], there is absolutely no orbital angular momentum dependence in evidence.

2 Near Extremal Schwarzschild- de Sitter Black Hole

The metric of the Schwarzschild-de Sitter spacetime is as following

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + f^{-1}(r)dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2, \tag{1}$$

where

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{r^2}{a^2}, \tag{2}$$

where M is the mass of black hole, and a denoting the de Sitter curvature radius, which related to the cosmological constant by $a^2 = \frac{3}{\Lambda}$. The spacetime possesses two horizons, the black hole horizon is at $r = r_b$, and the cosmological horizon is at $r = r_c$, with $r_b < r_c$. The third zero of $f(r)$ locates at $r_0 = -(r_b + r_c)$. It is useful to express M and a^2 as function of r_b, r_c

$$a^2 = r_b^2 + r_b r_c + r_c^2 \tag{3}$$

and

$$2Ma^2 = r_b r_c (r_b + r_c) \tag{4}$$

The surface gravity k_b associated with the black hole horizon, as defined by the relation $k_b = \frac{1}{2}\frac{df}{dr}|_{r=r_b}$. Easily one can show

$$k_b = \frac{(r_c - r_b)(r_b - r_0)}{2a^2 r_b}. \tag{5}$$

Let us now specialize to the near extremal SdS black hole, which is defined as the spacetime for which the cosmological horizon is very close to the black hole horizon. In this case we have

$$r_0 \sim -2r_b, \qquad a^2 \sim 3r_b^2, \qquad k_b \sim \frac{r_c - r_b}{2r_b^2}. \tag{6}$$

The analytical quasinormal mode spectrum for the near extremal SdS black hole has been derived by Cardoso and Lemos [30] is as following

$$\omega = k_b[-(n + 1/2)i + \sqrt{\frac{v_0}{k_b^2} - 1/4} + o[\Delta]], \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, ... \tag{7}$$

where

$$v_0 = k_b^2 l(l+1), \tag{8}$$

for scalar and electromagnetic perturbations, and

$$v_0 = k_b^2 (l+2)(l-1), \tag{9}$$

for gravitational perturbations, l is the angular quantum number. In Eq.(7) Δ is squeezing parameter [44] which is as following

$$\Delta = \frac{r_c - r_b}{r_b} \ll 1. \tag{10}$$

Let $\omega = \omega_R - i\omega_I$, then $\tau = \omega_I^{-1}$ is the effective relaxation time for the black hole to return to a quiescent state. Hence, the relaxation time τ is arbitrary small as $n \to \infty$. We assume that this classical frequency plays an important role in the dynamics of the black hole and is relevant to its quantum properties [5, 6]. In particular, we consider ω_R the real part of ω, to be a fundamental vibrational frequency for a black hole of energy E. Here if we adopt the mass definition due to the Abbott and Deser [45] we have $E = M$. Given a system with energy E and vibrational frequency ω one can show that the quantity

$$I = \int \frac{dE}{\omega(E)}, \tag{11}$$

is an adiabatic invariant [7], which via Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization has an equally spaced spectrum in the semi-classical (large n) limit:

$$I \approx n\hbar. \tag{12}$$

Now by taking ω_R in this context, we have

$$I = \int \frac{dE}{\omega_R} = \int \frac{dM}{k_b\sqrt{\frac{v_0}{k_b^2} - 1/4}} = \frac{M}{k_b\sqrt{\frac{v_0}{k_b^2} - 1/4}} + c, \tag{13}$$

where c is a constant. Boher-Sommerfeld quantization then implies that the mass spectrum is equally spaced,

$$M = n\hbar k_b\sqrt{\frac{v_0}{k_b^2} - 1/4}. \tag{14}$$

The use of Eqs.(4,3) leads us to

$$\delta M = \frac{r_b \Delta r \delta r_b}{2a^2} = \hbar k_b\sqrt{\frac{v_0}{k_b^2} - 1/4}. \tag{15}$$

In the other hand, the black hole horizon area is given by

$$A_b = 4\pi r_b^2, \tag{16}$$

by the variation of the black hole horizon and use of Eq.(15) we have

$$\delta A_b = 8\pi r_b \delta r_b = 8\pi \frac{2a^2\hbar k_b\sqrt{\frac{v_0}{k_b^2} - 1/4}}{\Delta r}. \tag{17}$$

Now by using Eq.(16), one can obtain

$$\delta A_b = 24\pi\hbar\sqrt{\frac{v_0}{k_b^2} - 1/4}. \tag{18}$$

Then we can obtain the quantization of a near extremal SdS black hole area as

$$A_b = 24\pi n\hbar\sqrt{\frac{v_0}{k_b^2} - 1/4}. \tag{19}$$

Using the definition of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy we have

$$S = \frac{A_b}{4\hbar} = 6\pi n\sqrt{\frac{v_0}{k_b^2} - 1/4}. \tag{20}$$

3 Conclusion

Bekenestein's idea for quantizing a black hole is based on the fact that its horizon area, in the nonextremal case, behaves as a classical adiabatic invariant. It is interesting to investigate how near extremal black holes would be quantized. Discrete spectra arise in quantum mechanics in the presence of a periodicity in the classical system Which in turn leads to the existence of an adiabatic invariant or action variable. Boher-Somerfeld quantization implies that this adiabatic invariant has an equally spaced spectrum in the semi-classical limit. Kunstatter showed that this approach works for the Schwarzschild black holes in any dimension, giving asymptotically equally spaced areas, here we showed generalization to near extremal SdS black holes is also successful. In this letter we have considered the near extremal SdS black hole in four dimensional spacetime, using the results for highly damped quasi-normal modes, we obtained the area and entropy spectrum of event horizon. We have found that the quantum area is $\Delta A = 24\pi\hbar\sqrt{\frac{v_0}{k_b^2} - 1/4}$. As one can see for example in [7, 16, 46], $\Delta A = 4\hbar \ln 3$ for schwarzschild black hole. Therefore in contrast with claim of [46], ΔA is not universal for all black holes. Also Abdalla et al [31] have shown that the results for spacing of the area spectrum for near extreme Kerr black holes differ from that for schwarzschild, as well as for non-extreme Kerr black holes. Such a difference for problem under consideration in this letter also in [31] as the authors have been mentioned may be justified due to the quite different nature of the asymptotic quasi-normal mode spectrum of the near extreme black hole.

References

[1] J. D. Bekenstein, Lett. Nuovo Cimento **11**, 467 (1974).

[2] J. D. Bekenstein and V. F. Mukahnov, Phys. Lett. **B360**, 7 (1995).

[3] H. A. Kastrup, Phys. Lett. **B385**, 75 (1996).

[4] J. D. Bekenstein, gr-qc/9808028.

[5] S. Hod, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 4293 (1998).

[6] O. Dreyer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 081301 (2003).

[7] G. Kunstatter, Phys. Rev. lett. **90**, 161301, (2003).

[8] L. Motl, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **6**, 1135, (2003).

[9] A. Corichi, gr-qc/0212126.

[10] E. Abdalla, K. H. C. Castello-Branco, A. Lima-Santos, gr-qc/0301130.

[11] V. Cardoso and J.P.S. Lemos, Phys. Rev. **D67**, 084020, (2003).

[12] S. Hod, Phys. Rev. **D67**, 081501, (2003).

[13] E. Berti and K.D. Kokkotas, hep-th/0303029.

[14] A.P. Polychronakos, hep-th/0304135.

[15] V. Cardoso, R. Konoplya, and J.P.S. Lemos, gr-qc/0305037.

[16] D. Birmingham, hep-th/0306004.

[17] T. Padmanabhan, A. Patel, gr-qc/0309053.

[18] M. R. Setare, hep-th/0311221.

[19] M. R. Setare, hep-th/0312061, to be appear in Phys. Rev. **D**.

[20] T. Regge, J. A. Wheeler, Phys. Rev. **108**, 1063 (1957).

[21] S. Chandrasekhar, and S. Detweiler, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A **344**, 441 (1975).

[22] G. T. Horowitz and V. Hubeny, Phys. Rev **D62**, 024027, (2000).

[23] V. Cardoso and J. P. S. Lemos Phys. Rev. **D63**, 124015, (2001).

[24] J. S. F. Chan and R. B. Mann, Phys. Rev. **D59**, 064025, (1999).

[25] D. Birmingham, I. Sachs, and S. N. Solodukhin, Phys. Rev. Lett, **88**, 151301, (2002).

[26] D. Birmingham, I. Sachs, and S. N. Solodukhin, hep-th/0212308 .

[27] R. A. Konoplya, Phys. Rev. **D66**, 044009, (2002).

[28] R. A. Konoplya, Phys. Rev.**D66**, 084007, (2002).

[29] A. O. Starinets, Phys. Rev. **D66**, 124013, (2002).

[30] V. Cardoso, R. A. Konoplya and J. P. S. Lemos, gr-qc/0305037.

[31] E. Abdalla, K. H. C. Castello-Branco and A. Lima-Santos, hep-th/0208065.

[32] R. Aros, C. Martinez, R. Troncoso, and J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. **D67**, 044014, (2003).

[33] S. Fernando, hep-th/0306214.

[34] I. G. Moss and J. P. Norman, Class. Quant. Grav. **19**, 2323, (2002).

[35] D. Birmingham, S. Carlip, hep-th/0311090.

[36] L. Motl, gr-qc/0212096.

[37] Y. Ling and H. Zhang, Phys. Rev. **D68**, 101501, (2003).

[38] R.A.Konoplya, Phys. Lett. **B550**, 117, (2002).

[39] W. T. Kim and J. J. Oh, Phys. Lett. **B514**, 155, (2001).

[40] J.D. Bekenstein, gr-qc/9710076.

[41] G. Poshl and E. Teller, Z. Phys. **83**, 143 (1933).

[42] V. Ferrari and B. Mashhoon, Phys. Rev. D **30**, 295 (1984).

[43] K.H.C. Castello-Branco and E. Abdalla, gr-qc/0309090.

[44] A. J. M. Medved and D. Martin, gr-qc/0311086.

[45] L. F. Abbott and S. Deser, Nucl. Phys. **B195**, 76, (1982).

[46] N. Andersson, C. J. Howls, gr-qc/0307020.